

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/657,882 09/08/2003		9/08/2003	Thomas Hurt	27343.00.8002	2630	
23418	7590	08/16/2005	•	EXA	EXAMINER	
VEDDER P	RICE KA	UFMAN & KA	LUONG, SHI	LUONG, SHIAN TINH NHAN		
222 N. LASALLE STREET CHICAGO, IL 60601			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
011111111111111111111111111111111111111				3728		

DATE MAILED: 08/16/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Tala

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/657,882	HURT ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Shian T. Luong	3728		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailling date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONEI	N. sely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.	·		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits			
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.	·		
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the E drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage		
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/8/03.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:			

Application/Control Number: 10/657,882 Page 2

Art Unit: 3728

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In claims 1, 3, 9 and 10, the term "two of said walls" lacks proper antecedent basis. It is not clear which walls applicant intends to claim. Also in claim 1, the T-flange shaped upper, lower and opposed first and second side panel edge portion in the drawing does not resemble a T-shape. In fact, the drawing in Figure 6 shows the insert with a substantially hourglass shaped upper edge portion 54 and lower edge portion 56 and two substantially rectangular shaped side panel edge portions 58,60. There is no T-shaped portion in the drawing.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 3 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lanius (US 4,838,445). Lanius discloses an organizer case comprising a tray 11 having a bottom wall and a plurality of compartment walls formed unitarily with and upstanding from said bottom wall. Two of the compartmental walls extending in parallel relation and space apart a separation distance. The two parallel walls having confronting sidewall faces. The sidewall faces each having a plurality of first joint formers 36 extending in perpendicular relation to the bottom wall. An insert divider 40 extends between sidewall faces. The insert comprising a main wall having

Application/Control Number: 10/657,882

Art Unit: 3728

upper edge, lower edge, and opposed side edge flange portions. The main wall is of flat planar configuration having a generally uniform thickness. The side edge flanges extend in angled relation to the plane of the main wall. The side edge flange portions having a second joint formers 52 for friction fit engagement with the first joint formers. The side edge flange portions being spaced apart a distance greater than the separation distance as shown in Figure 2.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 3,7,8,9,10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Japanese patent 3069109 in view of Spiegel (US 5,390,815). 3069109 discloses an organizer case comprising a having a bottom wall and a plurality of compartment walls formed unitarily with and upstanding from said bottom wall. Two of the compartmental walls extending in parallel relation and space apart a separation distance. The two parallel walls having confronting sidewall faces. The sidewall faces each having a plurality of first joint formers 8 extending in perpendicular relation to the bottom wall. An insert divider 2 extends between sidewall faces. The insert comprising a main wall having upper edge, lower edge, and opposed side edge flange portions. The main wall is of flat planar configuration having a generally uniform thickness. The side edge flange portions having a second joint formers 9 for friction fit engagement with the first joint formers. 3069109 does not disclose an insert divider that has side flange portions

Application/Control Number: 10/657,882 Page 4

Art Unit: 3728

being spaced apart greater than a distance between the separation distance. However, Spiegel teaches a container with adjustable compartments. The insert divider 50 separates the tray into a variety of compartments. Some are diagonal across from one wall to another and another is straight across from one wall to another as shown in Figure 6. The purpose is to provide different sized compartments in order to accommodate articles of different shapes. Hence, it would have been obvious in view of Spiegel to form some of the divider with diagonal shape body to form different shaped compartments. The distance between the flanges may be at least 20% greater than the separation distance through routine experiments.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 1, 2, 4-6 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Conclusion

7. Telephone inquiries regarding the status of applications or other general questions, by persons entitled to the information, should be directed to the group clerical personnel and not to the examiners. In as much as the official records and applications are located in the clerical section of the examining groups, the clerical personnel can readily provide status information without contacting the examiners, M.P.E.P. 203.08.

If in receiving this Office Action it is apparent to applicant that certain documents are missing, e.g., copies of references cited, form PTO-1449, form PTO-892, etc., requests for copies of such papers should be directed to Erica Miller at (571) 272-4370.

For applicant's convenience, the official FAX number is 5741-273-8300. This practice may be used for filing papers not requiring a fee. It may also be used for filing papers which require a fee by applicants who authorize charges to a PTO deposit account. Please identify Examiner Luong of Art Unit 3728 at the top of your cover sheet of any correspondence submitted.

Inquiries concerning the merits of the examination should be directed to Shian Luong whose telephone number is (571) 272-4557. The examiner can normally be reached on M-H from 7:00am to 4:00pm EST.

STL August 15, 2005 Primary Examiner Shian Luong Art Unit 3728